



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/651,842	08/31/2000	Eliana Peres	8673-110(8061-518 SJP/rs)	4412	
22150	7590 12/02/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
F. CHAU & ASSOCIATES, LLC 130 WOODBURY ROAD			ENG, DA	AVID Y	
WOODBURY, NY 11797		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2155		
			DATE MAILED: 12/02/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ŝ						
and .		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/651,842	PERES, ELIANA			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		DAVID Y. ENG	2155			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repon. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (beriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH statute, cause the application to become ABAI	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)	Claim(s) is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
	0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. & 1	19(a)-(d) or (f)			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
/.	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docur		olication No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	• •				
	application from the International Bu					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a	, , , ,	eceived.			
Attachmen	t(s)					
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur	mmary (PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	B) Paper No(s)/I	Mail Date			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S or No(s)/Mail Date	B/08) 5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Art Unit: 2155

The active claims are 1-9 and 11-17.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-9 and 11-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fijolek (USP 6,223,222).

Details of the rejection have already been set forth in the last Office action. The details are incorporated herein by reference thereto.

With respect to the amendment, it is inherent that a request has either a positive or negative response. In Fijolek issued a positive response to a request by assigning bandwidth. It is further inherent that the originating multimedia computing device would response differently (alternative action) when the response from the quality of service management components is negative instead of positive.

As to claim 11, the originating multimedia computing device (26, headend of Cable Network) would call back again when it wants to communicate with the destination multimedia computing device again.

In the communication filed on October 8, 2004, Applicants fail to provide any arguments as to why the added limitation is patentable distinct over Fijolek.

Applicant's arguments filed October 8, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to DAVID Y. ENG at telephone number 571-272-3898.

DAVID Y. ENG PRIMARY EXAMINER